

arubin@redhook.org

From: Th <lagodue@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:30 PM
To: Anne Rubin
Subject: question

where is a site plan showing my house in relation to the addition?
Tom

From: Th <lagodue@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 7:26 PM
To: Anne Rubin
Subject: Bob Fennel?

Will the applicants great room have a kitchen? If this is a second home on the property, can Bob comment on the zoning validity of two residences on a single family lot?

From: Jennifer Philipson <jlphilipson@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 6:52 PM
To: A Rubin
Subject: Notes regarding Thomas Markunas's letter to the zoning board dated May 10th 2020

FrederiqueLinnea Brown
Jennifer Philipson
155 Country Club Drive
Red Hook, NY 12571

May 13,2020

Attention Zoning Board of Appeals
Re: concerns of Thomas Markunas

Notes regarding Thomas Markunas's letter to the zoning board dated May 10th 2020

This is regarding the miscellaneous comments on the second page of the letter:

- the elevation on the drawing is a inmaterial to the case - the elevation is the most correct direction according to the architect
- we submitted the site plans/survey that were drawn by a licenced surveyor
- the existing coverage vs additional coverage is in the application that was submitted to the zoning board
- the elevation on the drawing is a inmaterial to the case - the elevation is the most correct direction according to the architect
- the lot coverage is as follows:

18425.88 sq ft lot

1152 - current structure
400 - garage
50 - shed
1602 sq feet

Current coverage is 8.442%

+ 480
= 2082 sq feet

With the additional 480 square feet the coverage ends up at 11.3%

Any concerns regarding sun and Shadow please refer to the PDF labeled current Treeline.

Mail Contacts Preferences Search Re: ZBA 20-01 B Re: ZBA 20-01 B Re: ZBA 20-01 B

Close Reply Reply to All Forward Delete Spam Actions



Re: ZBA 20-01 Brown for Two Area Variances

From: Th
To: A Rubin
Cc: nickannas Victoria Polidoro Shane Nissen

Hi Anne,

I have a few final comments to be read at the hearing tonight:

It has been mentioned that no one has ever submitted a sun study as part of a negative impact document in real world terms what "too close and too high" really means to me. (By the way, v

I will agree that the current zoning RD3 is not a very good fit for the small lots around Shook's were to create a unique zone from scratch for these small lots, the side yard setbacks would ne

The Applicant has many other options to explore for their addition. The one proposed should n

If anyone on the board had a neighbor propose this next to their property, I hope they would a:

Best regards,

Thomas Markunas - Architect

On Tue, May 12, 2020 at 7:50 PM Th <lagodue@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Anne,

Thank you for the update.

Kindly ensure that the attached question is responded to accurately, given my objection to

Best rgds,

Thomas Markunas - Architect

Reason for Appeal: _____

1. The granting of the variance will not produce an undesirable change in the character of the neighborhood or be a detriment to nearby properties because: _____

Search

A Rubin

Mail Contacts Preferences Search Re: ZBA 20-01 B

Close Reply Reply to All Forward Delete Spam Actions

**Re: ZBA 20-01 Brown for Two Area Variances**

From: Th
To: A Rubin
Cc: nickannas Victoria Polidoro Shane Nissen

Hi Anne,

One question for ZBA and Bob Fennel:

Brown addition will be used as residence for their daughter. Lower level is one huge space cc plumbing plan included with the application.

Thx

Tom Markunas - Architect

On Wed, May 13, 2020 at 8:32 AM Th <lagodue@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Anne,

I am sorry to submit so many comments by email, but when I spoke to Vic yesterday, he sa

Rgds,

Tom

On Wed, May 13, 2020 at 8:16 AM Th <lagodue@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Anne,

I have a few final comments to be read at the hearing tonight:

It has been mentioned that no one has ever submitted a sun study as part of a negative im, study to document in real world terms what "too close and too high" really means to me. (E

I will agree that the current zoning RD3 is not a very good fit for the small lots around Sho someone were to create a unique zone from scratch for these small lots, the side yard setba

The Applicant has many other options to explore for their addition. The one proposed shou

If anyone on the board had a neighbor propose this next to their property, I hope they wou

Best regards,

Thomas Markunas - Architect

On Tue, May 12, 2020 at 7:50 PM Th <lagodue@gmail.com> wrote:

From: Jennifer Philipson <jlphilipson@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 13, 2020 6:52 PM
To: A Rubin
Subject: Notes regarding Thomas Markunas's letter to the zoning board dated May 10th 2020

FrederiqueLinnea Brown
Jennifer Philipson
155 Country Club Drive
Red Hook, NY 12571

May 13,2020

Attention Zoning Board of Appeals
Re: concerns of Thomas Markunas

Notes regarding Thomas Markunas's letter to the zoning board dated May 10th 2020

This is regarding the miscellaneous comments on the second page of the letter:

- the elevation on the drawing is a inmaterial to the case - the elevation is the most correct direction according to the architect
- we submitted the site plans/survey that were drawn by a licenced surveyor
- the existing coverage vs additional coverage is in the application that was submitted to the zoning board
- the elevation on the drawing is a inmaterial to the case - the elevation is the most correct direction according to the architect
- the lot coverage is as follows:

18425.88 sq ft lot

1152 - current structure
400 - garage
50 - shed
1602 sq feet

Current coverage is 8.442%

+ 480
= 2082 sq feet

With the additional 480 square feet the coverage ends up at 11.3%

Any concerns regarding sun and Shadow please refer to the PDF labeled current Treeline.